



Minimum degrees and codegrees of minimal Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs

Dennis Clemens¹

*Institut für Mathematik
Technische Universität Hamburg-Harburg
21073 Hamburg, Germany*

Yury Person^{2,3}

*Institut für Mathematik
Goethe-Universität
60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

Abstract

A uniform hypergraph H is called k -Ramsey for a hypergraph F , if no matter how one colors the edges of H with k colors, there is always a monochromatic copy of F . We say that H is minimal k -Ramsey for F , if H is k -Ramsey for F but every proper subhypergraph of H is not. Burr, Erdős and Lovász [S. A. Burr, P. Erdős, and L. Lovász, *On graphs of Ramsey type*, *Ars Combinatoria* 1 (1976), no. 1, 167–190] studied various parameters of minimal Ramsey graphs. In this paper we initiate the study of minimum degrees and codegrees of minimal Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs. We show that the smallest minimum vertex degree over all minimal k -Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs for $K_t^{(3)}$ is exponential in some polynomial in k and t . We also study the smallest possible minimum codegrees over minimal 2-Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs.

Keywords: minimal Ramsey hypergraph, minimum degree and codegree

1 Introduction and New Results

A graph G is said to be Ramsey for a graph F if no matter how one colors the edges of G with two colors, say red and blue, there is a monochromatic copy of F . A classical result of Ramsey [11] states that for every F there is an integer n such that K_n is Ramsey for F . If G is Ramsey for F but every proper subgraph of G is not Ramsey for F , then we say that G is minimal Ramsey for F . We denote by $\mathcal{M}_k(F)$ the set of minimal graphs G with the property that no matter how one colors the edges of G with k colors, there is a monochromatic copy of F in it, and refer to these as minimal k -Ramsey graphs for F . There are many challenging open questions concerning the study of various parameters of minimal k -Ramsey graphs for various F . The most studied ones are the classical (vertex) Ramsey numbers $r_k(F) := \min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_k(F)} v(G)$ and the size Ramsey number $\hat{r}_k(F) := \min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_k(F)} e(G)$, where $v(G)$ is the number of vertices in G and $e(G)$ is its number of edges. To determine the classical Ramsey number $r_2(K_t)$ is a notoriously difficult problem and essentially the best known bounds are $2^{(1+o(1))t/2}$ and $2^{(2+o(1))t}$ due to Spencer [13] and Conlon [4].

Burr, Erdős and Lovász [1] were the first to study other possible parameters of the class $\mathcal{M}_2(K_t)$. In particular they determined the minimum degree $s_2(K_t) := \min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_2(K_t)} \delta(G) = (t-1)^2$ which looks surprising given the exponential bound on the minimum degree of K_n with $n = r_2(K_t)$ (it is not difficult to see that $K_n \in \mathcal{M}_2(K_t)$). Extending their results, Fox, Grinshpun, Liebenau, Person and Szabó [7] studied the minimum degree $s_k(K_t) := \min_{G \in \mathcal{M}_k(K_t)} \delta(G)$ for more colors showing a general bound on $s_k(K_t) \leq 8(t-1)^6 k^3$ and proving quasiquadratic bounds in k on $s_k(K_t)$ for fixed t . Further results concerning minimal Ramsey graphs were studied in [2,8,9,12,14].

Here we initiate the study of minimal Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs and provide first bounds on various notions of minimum degrees for minimal Ramsey hypergraphs. Generally, an r -uniform hypergraph H is a tuple (V, E) with vertex set V and $E \subseteq \binom{V}{r}$ being its edge set. Ramsey's theorem holds for r -uniform hypergraphs as well, as shown originally by Ramsey himself [11], and we write $G \rightarrow (F)_k$ if G is k -Ramsey for F , i.e. if no matter how one colors the edges of the r -uniform hypergraph G , there is a monochromatic copy of F . We denote by $K_t^{(r)}$ the complete r -uniform hypergraph with t vertices, i.e.

¹ Email: dennis.clemens@tuhh.de

² Email: person@math.uni-frankfurt.de

³ YP is partially supported by DFG grant PE 2299/1-1.

$K_t^{(r)} = ([t], \binom{[t]}{r})$, and by the hypergraph Ramsey number $r_k(F)$ the smallest n such that $K_n^{(r)} \rightarrow (F)_k$. While in the graph case the known bounds on $r_2(K_t)$ are only polynomially far apart, already in the case of 3-uniform hypergraphs the bounds on $r_2(K_t^{(3)})$ differ in one exponent: $2^{c_1 t^2} \leq r_2(K_t^{(3)}) \leq 2^{2^{c_2 t}}$ for some absolute positive constants c_1 and c_2 , and a similar situation occurs for higher uniformities. For further information on Ramsey numbers we refer the reader to the standard book on Ramsey theory [10] and for newer results to the survey of Conlon, Fox and Sudakov [5].

Given $\ell \in [r-1]$, we define the degree $\deg(S)$ of an ℓ -set S in an r -uniform hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ as the number of edges that contain S and we define the minimum ℓ -degree $\delta_\ell(H) := \min_{S \in \binom{V}{\ell}} \deg(S)$. For two vertices u and v we simply write $\deg(u, v)$ for the *codegree* $\deg(\{u, v\})$. Similar to the graph case we extend verbatim the notion of minimal Ramsey graphs to minimal Ramsey r -uniform hypergraphs in a natural way. That is, $\mathcal{M}_k(F)$ is the set of all minimal k -Ramsey r -uniform hypergraphs H , i.e. consisting of those with $H \rightarrow (F)_k$ but $H' \not\rightarrow (F)_k$ for all $H' \subsetneq H$. We define

$$s_{k,\ell}(K_t^{(r)}) := \min_{H \in \mathcal{M}_k(K_t^{(r)})} \delta_\ell(H), \quad (1)$$

which extends the introduced graph parameter $s_k(K_t)$. It will be shown actually that $s_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)})$ is zero and thus it makes sense to ask for the second smallest value of the codegrees. This motivates the following parameter $s'_{k,\ell}(K_t^{(r)})$:

$$s'_{k,\ell}(K_t^{(r)}) := \min_{H \in \mathcal{M}_k(K_t^{(r)})} \left(\min \left\{ \deg_H(S) : S \in \binom{V(H)}{\ell}, \deg_H(S) > 0 \right\} \right).$$

We prove the following results on the minimum degree and codegree of minimal Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs for cliques $K_t^{(3)}$.

Theorem 1.1 *The following holds for all $t \geq 4$ and $k \geq 2$*

$$\hat{r}_k(K_{t-1}) \leq s_{k,1}(K_t^{(3)}) \leq k^{20kt^4}. \quad (2)$$

The lower bound $\hat{r}_k(K_{t-1})$ is the *size-Ramsey number* for K_{t-1} and it was shown by Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [6] that $\hat{r}_k(K_\ell) = \binom{r_k(K_\ell)}{2}$. Using the lower bound on $r_k(K_\ell) \geq 2^{\frac{1-o(1)}{4}k\ell}$ (see e.g. [5]) we obtain $s_{k,1}(K_t^{(3)}) \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}kt(1-o(1))}$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $t \geq 4$ be an integer. Then,*

$$s_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) = 0 \text{ and } s'_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) = (t - 2)^2.$$

Notice that with $s'_{2,2}$ we ask for the smallest *positive* codegree, while for $s_{2,2}$ we also allow the codegree to be zero. This in particular means that in *any* minimal 2-Ramsey hypergraph H for $K_t^{(3)}$ we have that a pair of vertices u and v are either not contained in a common edge or have codegree at least $(t - 2)^2$. This might look surprising at the first sight since taking $K_n^{(3)}$ with $n = r_2(K_t^{(3)})$ and then deleting all edges that contain two distinguished vertices gives a non-Ramsey hypergraph.

2 Main tools

2.1 BEL-gadgets

We refer in the following to a coloring without a monochromatic copy of F as an F -free coloring. Our first tool is a result that asserts existence of non- k -Ramsey hypergraphs \mathcal{H} for $K_t^{(3)}$ that impose certain structure on *all* $K_t^{(3)}$ -free colorings of $E(\mathcal{H})$.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $k \geq 2$ and $t \geq 4$ be integers. Let H be a 3-uniform hypergraph with $H \not\rightarrow \left(K_t^{(3)}\right)_k$ and let $c: E(H) \rightarrow [k]$ be a k -coloring which avoids monochromatic copies of $K_t^{(3)}$. Then, there exists a 3-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} with the following properties:*

- (i) $\mathcal{H} \not\rightarrow \left(K_t^{(3)}\right)_k$,
- (ii) \mathcal{H} contains H as an induced subhypergraph, i.e. $\mathcal{H}[V(H)] = H$,
- (iii) for every coloring $\varphi: E(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow [k]$ without a monochromatic copy of $K_t^{(3)}$, the coloring of H under φ agrees with the coloring c , up to a permutation of the k colors,
- (iv) if there are two vertices $a, b \in V(H)$ with $\deg_H(a, b) = 0$ then $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(a, b) = 0$ as well,
- (v) if $|V(H)| \geq 4$ then for every vertex $x \in V(\mathcal{H}) \setminus V(H)$ there exists a vertex $y \in V(H)$ such that $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) = 0$.

This theorem is crucial for our constructions of minimal k -Ramsey hypergraphs and thus for giving upper bounds on $s_{k,1}(K_t^{(3)})$, $s_{k,2}(K_t^{(3)})$ and $s'_{k,2}(K_t^{(3)})$, respectively. For its proof we first show the existence of a 3-uniform

hypergraph \mathcal{H} and two edges $f, e \in E(\mathcal{H})$ with $|f \cap e| = 2$ and $e(\mathcal{H}[e \cup f]) = 2$ so that \mathcal{H} is not k -Ramsey for $K_t^{(3)}$ with the property that any F -free k -coloring of $E(\mathcal{H})$ colors the edges e and f differently. Putting several copies of these hypergraphs together in an appropriate way we receive a hypergraph promised by Theorem 2.1 (and we refer to such \mathcal{H} as BEL-gadgets). In the graph case similar gadgets (called *positive/negative signal senders*) were given first by Burr, Erdős and Lovász [1] in the case of two colors, and later generalized by Burr, Nešetřil and Rödl [2] and by Rödl and Siggers [12].

2.2 Random hypergraphs

The random hypergraph $H^{(3)}(n, p)$ is the probability space of all labeled 3-uniform hypergraphs on the vertex set $[n]$ with each edge appearing with probability p independently of all other edges. The following lemma is crucial for the upper bound (2) in Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $t \geq 4$ and $k \geq 2$ be integers. There is a 3-uniform hypergraph H on $n = k^{10kt^4}$ vertices, which can be written as an edge-disjoint union of k 3-uniform hypergraphs H_1, \dots, H_k with the following properties:*

- (i) *for every $i \in [k]$, H_i contains no copies of $K_t^{(3)}$, and*
- (ii) *for any coloring c of the edges of the complete graph K_n with k colors there exists a color $x \in [k]$ and k sets S_1, \dots, S_k that induce copies of K_{t-1} in color x under the coloring c such that $H_1[S_1] \cong \dots \cong H_k[S_k] \cong K_{t-1}^{(3)}$.*

The rough idea of the proof of Lemma 2.2 is to take k random hypergraphs $H'_1, \dots, H'_k \sim H^{(3)}(n, p)$, with p being chosen appropriately. And then to show that, with positive probability, even after deleting those edges which appear in at least two hypergraphs H'_i or in a copy of $K_t^{(3)}$ inside some H'_i , we are left with k edge-disjoint hypergraphs H_1, \dots, H_k that satisfy the conditions above.

For the details we refer the reader to the full version of our paper [3].

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Lower bound

Take a minimal k -Ramsey hypergraph \mathcal{H} for $K_t^{(3)}$ together with a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\deg(v) = \delta(\mathcal{H}) = s_{k,1}(K_t^{(3)})$. We know that there exists a $K_t^{(3)}$ -free k -coloring of $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{v\}$ which cannot be extended to a $K_t^{(3)}$ -free k -coloring of \mathcal{H} . But this implies that $\text{link}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \rightarrow (K_{t-1})_k$ holds, where

$\text{link}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ is the link of v , i.e., the graph consisting of all edges e such that $e \cup \{v\} \in E(\mathcal{H})$. Therefore: $s_{k,1}(K_t^{(3)}) = \deg(v) \geq \hat{r}_k(K_{t-1})$.

Upper bound

The proof of our upper bound on $s_{k,1}(K_t^{(3)})$ makes use of the BEL-gadgets. We fix a 3-uniform hypergraph H as asserted by Lemma 2.2 and a $K_t^{(3)}$ -free k -coloring c of $E(H)$ which colors each of the subhypergraphs H_i monochromatically with color $i \in [k]$. Applying Theorem 2.1 for this choice of H and c , we obtain a new hypergraph \mathcal{H}' , that contains H as an induced subhypergraph, and we extend it further to a hypergraph \mathcal{H} by adding one new vertex v with the edges $\{v, a, b\}$ for all $\{a, b\} \in \binom{V(H)}{2}$, i.e. the link of v is $\text{link}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) := \binom{V(H)}{2}$. So, $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(v) = \binom{n}{2} < k^{20kt^4}$ holds. Owing to the assertions on \mathcal{H}' we have $\mathcal{H}' \not\rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_k$. On the other hand one can show $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_k$, which follows from Property (ii) of Lemma 2.2. Thus, we conclude that there needs to exist a minimal k -Ramsey hypergraph \mathcal{H}'' of $K_t^{(3)}$ with $\mathcal{H}' \subseteq \mathcal{H}'' \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and $0 < \deg_{\mathcal{H}''}(v) < k^{20kt^4}$. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

The size of $s'_{2,2}$

For the proof of $s'_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) \geq (t-2)^2$ we take a minimal 2-Ramsey hypergraph H for $K_t^{(3)}$ together with two vertices u and $v \in V(H)$ such that $\deg_H(u, v) > 0$. We aim to show that $\deg_H(u, v) \geq (t-2)^2$, and thus, for contradiction, we assume the opposite. We then delete all edges containing both u and v in order to obtain a hypergraph H' , which satisfies $H' \not\rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_2$. That is, we find a red-blue coloring c of $E(H')$ which does not create a monochromatic copy of $K_t^{(3)}$. Now, let $N(u, v) := \{w \in V(H) : \{u, v, w\} \in E(H)\}$, $\deg_H(u, v) = |N(u, v)|$, and fix a longest sequence B_1, \dots, B_k of vertex disjoint sets of size $t-2$ in $N(u, v)$, such that both $B_i \cup \{u\}$ and $B_i \cup \{v\}$ span only blue edges under the coloring c in H' . By assumption on the codegree $\deg_H(u, v)$, we know that $k < t-2$. We then extend the coloring c to a coloring of $E(H)$ as follows. For each edge $e = \{u, v, w\} \in E(H)$ with $w \in \bigcup B_i$ we set $c(e) = \text{red}$, while for all other edges $e = \{u, v, w\} \in E(H)$ we set $c(e) = \text{blue}$. It then follows that under this coloring there is no monochromatic copy of $K_t^{(3)}$ in H , contradicting $H \rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_2$.

For the proof of $s'_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) \leq (t-2)^2$ we first provide a hypergraph H as follows. We choose $V(H) := [(t-2)^2] \cup \{a, b\}$ together with a partition

of $[(t-2)^2]$ into $(t-2)$ equal-sized sets V_1, \dots, V_{t-2} . Moreover, we define $E(H)$ by taking all edges of the clique $K_{(t-2)^2+2}^{(3)}$ on the vertex set $\bigcup V_i \cup \{a, b\}$ and then deleting all edges that contain both a and b plus deleting all edges that cross exactly two different V_i s and contain neither a nor b . For this particular hypergraph, we then define a red-blue-coloring c as follows: the edges contained in $V_i \cup \{a\}$ and in $V_i \cup \{b\}$ for $i \in [t-2]$ are colored *blue*, while the other edges of H are colored *red*. By construction of H this coloring does not produce a monochromatic copy of $K_t^{(3)}$.

Now, applying Theorem 2.1 to H and c , we obtain a 3-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} which contains H as an induced subhypergraph such that $\mathcal{H} \not\rightarrow K_t^{(3)}$, $\deg_{\mathcal{H}}(a, b) = 0$ and such that any $K_t^{(3)}$ -free red-blue coloring ϕ of $E(\mathcal{H})$ agrees on $E(H)$ with the coloring c up to permutation of the two colors. Extending this construction by adding to \mathcal{H} all $(t-2)^2$ edges $\{a, b, u\}$ where $u \in [(t-2)^2]$, we finally end up in a hypergraph \mathcal{H}' for which it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{H}' \rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_2$. Thus, as $\mathcal{H} \not\rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_2$, there needs to exist a minimal 2-Ramsey hypergraph \mathcal{H}'' of $K_t^{(3)}$ with $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}'' \subseteq \mathcal{H}'$ and such that $0 < \deg_{\mathcal{H}''}(a, b) \leq (t-2)^2$, i.e., $s'_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) \leq (t-2)^2$.

Showing $s_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) = 0$.

Let us consider the previous construction of \mathcal{H}' again. As $s'_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) = (t-2)^2$ was proven, we know that *any* minimal 2-Ramsey subhypergraph of \mathcal{H}' for $K_t^{(3)}$ has to contain *all* $(t-2)^2$ edges that contain a and b , and in particular, any such minimal hypergraph \mathcal{H}'' needs to contain all vertices of the induced subhypergraph H . However, $\mathcal{H}''[V(H)] \not\rightarrow (K_t^{(3)})_2$ holds, as can be seen by considering a red-blue-edge-coloring chosen uniformly at random and showing that the expected number of monochromatic copies of $K_t^{(3)}$ in $\mathcal{H}''[V(H)]$ is less than 1.

Thus, any minimal 2-Ramsey subhypergraph \mathcal{H}'' of \mathcal{H}' has to contain at least one further vertex $x \notin V(H)$. Then, since $|V(H)| = (t-2)^2 + 2 \geq 6$, it follows by Property (v) of Theorem 2.1 that there exists a vertex $y \in V(H)$ such that $0 = \deg_{\mathcal{H}''}(x, y) \geq \deg_{\mathcal{H}''}(x, y)$, i.e., $s_{2,2}(K_t^{(3)}) = 0$. \square

For the details we refer the reader to the full version of our paper [3].

References

- [1] Burr, S. A., P. Erdős and L. Lovász, *On graphs of Ramsey type*, Ars Combinatoria **1** (1976), pp. 167–190.

- [2] Burr, S. A., J. Nešetřil and V. Rödl, *On the use of senders in generalized Ramsey theory for graphs*, Discrete Math. **54** (1985), pp. 1–13.
- [3] Clemens, D. and Y. Person, *Minimum degrees and codegrees of minimal Ramsey 3-uniform hypergraphs* (2015), preprint, arXiv:1502.01147.
- [4] Conlon, D., *A new upper bound for diagonal Ramsey numbers*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), pp. 941–960.
- [5] Conlon, D., J. Fox and B. Sudakov, *Recent developments in graph Ramsey theory* (2015), preprint, arXiv:1501.02474.
- [6] Erdős, P., R. J. Faudree, C. C. Rousseau and R. H. Schelp, *The size Ramsey number*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica **9** (1978), pp. 145–161.
- [7] Fox, J., A. Grinshpun, A. Liebenau, Y. Person and T. Szabó, *On the minimum degree of minimal Ramsey graphs for multiple colours*, submitted, arXiv:1502.02881.
- [8] Fox, J., A. Grinshpun, A. Liebenau, Y. Person and T. Szabó, *What is Ramsey-equivalent to a clique?*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B **109** (2014), pp. 120–133.
- [9] Fox, J. and K. Lin, *The minimum degree of Ramsey-minimal graphs*, J. Graph Theory **54** (2006), pp. 167–177.
- [10] Graham, R. L., B. L. Rothschild and J. H. Spencer, “Ramsey theory,” Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990, second edition, xii+196 pp., a Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [11] Ramsey, F. P., *On a problem in formal logic*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **30** (1930), pp. 264–286.
- [12] Rödl, V. and M. Siggers, *On Ramsey minimal graphs*, SIAM J. Discrete Math. **22** (2008), pp. 467–488.
- [13] Spencer, J., *Ramsey’s theorem—a new lower bound*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A **18** (1975), pp. 108–115.
- [14] Szabó, T., P. Zumstein and S. Zürcher, *On the minimum degree of minimal Ramsey graphs*, J. Graph Theory **64** (2010), pp. 150–164.